

To: Klasic, Meghan[Klasic.Meghan@epa.gov]
Cc: Sturman, Mary[Sturman.Mary@epa.gov]
From: Carlin, Jayne
Sent: Tue 5/5/2015 3:48:08 PM
Subject: RE: Changes in GRTS

Thanks for the update, Meghan.
around and can pass on this information to our states.

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Mary will be

Jayne

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit
US EPA, Region 10
1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-8512
carlin.jayne@epa.gov

www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm

yosemite.epa.gov/R10/ecocomm.nsf/Watershed+Collaboration/State+Tribal+NPS

From: Klasic, Meghan
Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2015 4:57 AM
To: Carlin, Jayne
Cc: Sturman, Mary
Subject: RE: Changes in GRTS

We're actually working on that this week—among some other GRTS updates that I think everyone will be very VERY happy with ☺ I can give you a better time idea on the definitions/training this Thursday!

Meghan

From: Carlin, Jayne
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2015 6:15 PM
To: Klastic, Meghan
Cc: Sturman, Mary
Subject: RE: Changes in GRTS

Thanks Meghan.

What is the status of the action item to add definitions for each of the state staff functional category activities to the GRTS system and to provide a training on them?

Cheers,

Jayne

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit
US EPA, Region 10
1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-8512
carlin.jayne@epa.gov

www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm

yosemite.epa.gov/R10/ecocomm.nsf/Watershed+Collaboration/State+Tribal+NPS

From: Klastic, Meghan
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2015 6:35 AM
To: Carlin, Jayne
Cc: Sturman, Mary
Subject: RE: Changes in GRTS

Hi Jayne:

My apologies. I thought I had sent you a follow-up email already! The new staff category has been added to the system!

Thanks,
Meghan

From: Carlin, Jayne
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2015 4:05 PM
To: Klastic, Meghan
Cc: Sturman, Mary
Subject: Changes in GRTS

Just wondering the status...

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit
US EPA, Region 10
1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-8512
carlin.jayne@epa.gov

www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm

yosemite.epa.gov/R10/ecocomm.nsf/Watershed+Collaboration/State+Tribal+NPS

From: Klastic, Meghan
Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2015 2:43 PM
To: Carlin, Jayne

Cc: Sturman, Mary
Subject: RE: Follow-Up AK/OR

Sure thing, Jayne!

I also wanted to let you both know that I sent forward technical direction to get the new state staff functional category added to the system. I'll let you know as soon as it's done!

Meghan

From: Carlin, Jayne
Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2015 5:42 PM
To: Klastic, Meghan
Subject: RE: Follow-Up AK/OR

Thanks!

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit
US EPA, Region 10
1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-8512
carlin.jayne@epa.gov

www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm

yosemite.epa.gov/R10/ecocomm.nsf/Watershed+Collaboration/State+Tribal+NPS

From: Klastic, Meghan
Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2015 2:36 PM
To: Sturman, Mary; Carlin, Jayne
Cc: Curtis, Cynthia; Klastic, Meghan
Subject: Follow-Up AK/OR

Great talking with you today!

So, to capture what we talked about earlier:

1. Add a functional category to GRTS to capture “Watershed Protection and Restoration Implementation” work done by state staff (watershed project funds)
2. Add definitions for each of the state staff functional category activities to the GRTS system and provide a training on them

Regarding Oregon’s Work:

1. I would round the 9.73 FTE to 10 FTE
2. I would also round the FTE for each of the State activities
3. For those activities that may encapsulate more than one activity, I make a best guess estimate on how much of the allocated FTE went to each activity type. For example, with Regional NPS Implementation and NPS TMDL Development and Implementation... did half the FTE go towards implementation and half towards TMDL development? Or did about 4 FTE work towards implementation and 1 towards TMDL development?

So for example:

| | FTE | Estimated FTE | State Staff Activities in GRTS |
|--|------------|--------------------------|---|
| NPS TMDL Modeling | | 0.89 | 1A) Development of TMDLs A) Development of TMDLs |
| Regional NPS Implementation and NPS TMDL Development and Implementation | | 4.84 | B) Watershed Protection 5and Restoration Implementation |
| Prorates and Management and Administrative Support | | 1.01 | 1A) NPS Grants Administration |

319 Grant Administration and Provision of Technical Assistance with Applicants, DEQ Staff and Coordination with Other Funding Agencies

1

A) NPS Grants Administration
1B) Technical Assistance/Technology Transfer

NPS Policy Development, Collaboration and Provision of Technical Assistance with Stakeholders and other Local, State, and Federal Agencies
Total

2

A) Technical Assistance/Technology Transfer
B) Other- NPS Policy Development
2
10

9.73

Based on the assigned activities, I'd estimate a percentage for each category. For example, Development of TMDLs is 1 FTE (NPS TMDL Modeling) + 2.5 FTE (1/2 of the Regional NPS Implementation and NPS TMDL Development and Implementation). So, Development of TMDLs is approximately 3.5 FTE out of 10 FTE (35%). Because the percentages are in 10% increments, you'll have to adjust the numbers based on what seems right.... For example, perhaps the TMDL development really doesn't constitute half of the "Regional NPS Implementation" project. Perhaps, instead, only 1 of the 5 FTEs goes towards TMDL planning. If that is the case, Development of TMDLs would be 2 FTEs out of 10 or 20%. The numbers should be changed according to the workplan/project descriptions.

Again, this is an estimate. We're not going to use the data to say oh well XX state says they put 20% of their state staff time towards Development of TMDLs, so make sure they haven't spent more than 20% of the project dollars on Development of TMDLs. The goal is to get an idea of allocation of general state staff time and resources.

I hope this helps! Please let me know if you have additional questions ☺

Meghan